Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/743,314		ISHIKAWA, HAJIME	
	Examiner		Art Unit	_
	Duc C Ho		2665	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Duc C Ho</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Sean M. McGinn; P:(703)-761-4100</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 28 July 2004.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-55</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: None.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
				•
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examine	Examiner's signature, if required		



Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Application No. 09/743,314

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner has left a message for Mr. McGinn on July 26, 04 regarding a provision of restriction/election of a two-groups. The first group includes claims 1-43, and the second group includes claims 44-55. A lady from Mr. McGinn office called back later to acknowledge that the case has been abandoned since Nov. 10-2003. Since the PTO office has not received the letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney of record, therefore, the enclosed first office action will only include a restriction and election requirement without prior art rejection in such a way to establish a record, and to provide a mean for the client to prepare a letter of abandonment for the case as mentioned above.